Can it be restored? After Pat's smash appearance on the McNeil-Lehrer show, a worried Judy Woodruff asked a commentator whether Pat could possibly succeed in going "back" to the Old Republic and its values. The answer is a resounding "Yes!" When I was growing up as a young rebel against the socialism and communism that infested our politics and our political culture, the standard socialist answer to free-market views was that "you can't turn back the clock." Well, the peoples of Russia and the now dead Soviet Union have already given a resounding lie to that phony claim. The clock of communism is smashed forever, broken beyond repair, destroyed, as the Marxists would put it, by "its own contradictions." All we need to restore the Old Republic is the will, and a brave and articulate leader to raise the banner and sound the trumpet call. We now have that leader in Pat Buchanan. We can do it; now that the Cold War is over, we can revive the Old Right and the Old Republic, we can reinvigorate the heartland to throw off the world-governmentalists, as well as the Right-Wing Mensheviks who call themselves "neo-conservatives," the Left-Libertarians, and all the other misleaders of conservatism or classical liberalism. From this point on, Pat Buchanan, by the very existence of his candidacy and his continuing leadership, has redefined the Right, has realigned and re-defined the ideological and political spectrum in this country. From now on, Pat Buchanan will be the magnet that realigns politics and through whom everything will be defined. From now on, we are either Buchananites, of whatever nuance, members of a Buchananite movement, or we are anti-Buchananite, or we are confused folk in the middle. These are the three choices and the only three choices, for every American. It is time to choose.

New World Order, Haiti Department
by M.N.R.

Did you ever feel that you were plunged into the middle of an old Bob Newhart routine? Consider this example of Bush's foreign policy, which is supposed to be his strength. After decades, Haiti finally has an election, which brings to power an extreme-left-wing priest, Father Jean-Bertrand Aristide, an advocate of torture and "necklacing." Alarmed by Aristide's revival of the brutal, paramilitary Ton-Ton Macoutes, a dread legacy of the old Duvalier dictatorship, the Haitian military ousted Aristide in a coup, un-wisely allowing him to flee abroad.

The United States government, angered at this repudiation of "democracy," then maneuvered to get the Organization of American States (OAS) to slap a tight embargo on Haiti, causing mass starvation in an already destitute country. Conducting three-way negotiations, the U.S./OAS finally works out an agreement with the Haitian military, bringing back Aristide, with a "moderate," "compromise" figure as Prime-Minister, none other than Rene Theodore, long-time head of the Communist Party of Haiti. During the half-century of the Cold War, the U.S. government spent a lot of energy looking for "Third Force moderates" in the Third World, and could never find any. Now they have finally found one, and it's the head of the Communist Party?!!

The New York Times reports that the Haitian workers and soldiers are "confused" by this development ("Selection of Communist Stirs Confusion in Haiti," January 11). A Haitian industrialist reported that "even my workers were shaking their heads in confusion... They just kept asking: a Communist?" Similarly for the "poorly educated" soldiers, who now had to be informed...
that “one of their few enduring
certainties, that Communists
were evil,” somehow did not
apply. Their confusion is com-
pounded by the fact that the
military had been applying the
“damning” epithet “Commu-
nist” to Aristide.

Good. After they get
through explaining all this
to the poor Haitians, maybe
they can explain it to us. One
Haitian social-democrat lead-
er offered the excuse that “in
the five years, he [Theodore]
has been back in Haiti, I
have never heard him talking
about Marxism.” So what?
Has everyone ever heard
Alger Hiss talking
about Marxism? Or any-one else,
for that matter, except Western
academics?

But that’s all
right. Haitian con-
fusion, at least,
will be eased by
the fact that, as
soon as the Aris-
tide/Theodore
compromise
goes through, the
United States/OAS
will lift its
embargo, and the
U.S. will start
pouring aid funds
into Haiti to make up for the
losses imposed by that em-
braco. This leaves, in a state
of permanent confusion, that
old punching-bag, the U.S.
taxpayer, who has to pay for
this insanity. And you say
they’re worried about Pat
Buchanan’s “isolationism”?!

The vindication
of Willie
Kennedy Smith on
nationwide
TV was a
delightful
one-two
punch to the
Monstrous
Regiment.

The Smith
“Rape” Case
by M.N.R.

The vindication of Willie
Kennedy Smith on nationwide
TV was a delightful one-two
punch to the Monstrous Regi-
ment, coming so soon after
the Thomas nomination. Just
as we predicted, at the out-
come of the case, one of the
feminist “experts” whined bit-
terly on TV: “I suppose that
from now on the burden of
proof will be on the ‘victim.’”

Yes, that’s the whole idea,
lady, the burden of proof is on
the plaintiff in a
criminal case to
prove guilt be-
yond a reason-
able doubt.

The case was
preposterous
from the begin-
ning: No tearing
of dress or un-
derwear from the
alleged act of
“violence”; none
in the household
hearing any al-
leged screams;
the convenient
loss
of memory
by The Woman
on when and
why she voluntarily removed
her pantyhose, etc. And once
again there was the blather:
what possible motive could
she have had to lie? Well, let’s
go down the list: (a) she could
be “a nut,” as Willie percep-
tively noted; (b) she could be
vindictive; (c) she could be
after the Kennedy loot. How?

Well, if the criminal case had
been won, The Woman could
have gone after big bucks in a
civil suit.

There was a lot of wail-
because testimony about
Willie’s three previous alleged
acts of sexual coercion was
not permitted. Those com-
plaints, of course, coming from
the same women who consider
it simply self-evident that The
Woman’s previous sexual es-
capades could not be men-
tioned. In addition to a single
standard being important, we
have to consider what The
Case helps reveal to us about
the time in which we live. Wilt
Chamberlain testified recently
(but not “under oath”) that he
has slept with 20,000 ladies in
his lifetime. Deduct some
vigorish for bragadocio, de-
duct some years for Willie, and
we still have a wealthy, good-
looking, ostentatiously eligible
and clearly not celibate bach-
elor knocking down—how
many?—several thousand?
ladies.

Of the several thousand
putative ladies, it stands to
reason that at least three could
be found who were mad at
Willie and willing to go after
the Kennedy fortune. One of
those three, it turns out, spent
the night with Willie after the
alleged “rape” occurred, and
only got mad at him the next
morning when he was reading
the paper at breakfast instead
of paying court to her: after
which she decided that she
had been, after all, a “victim”
of date rape.

An interesting facet of
The Woman’s character: upon
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