

Can it be restored? After Pat's smash appearance on the McNeil-Lehrer show, a worried Judy Woodruff asked a commentator whether Pat could possibly succeed in going "back" to the Old Republic and its values. The answer is a resounding "Yes!" When I was growing up as a young rebel against the socialism and communism that infested our politics and our political culture, the standard socialist answer to free-market views was that "you can't turn back the clock." Well, the peoples of Russia and the now dead Soviet Union have already given a resounding lie to that phony claim. The clock of communism is smashed forever, broken beyond repair, destroyed, as the Marxists would put it, by "its own contradictions." All we need to restore the Old Republic is the will, and a brave and articulate leader to raise the banner and sound the trumpet call. We now have that leader in Pat Buchanan. We can do it; now that the Cold War is over, we can revive the Old Right and the Old Republic, we can reinvigorate the heartland to throw off the world-governmentalists, as well as the Right-Wing Mensheviks who call themselves "neo-conservatives," the Left-Libertarians, and all the other misleaders of conservatism or classical liberalism. From this point on, Pat Buchanan, by the very existence of his candidacy and his continuing leadership, has redefined the Right, has realigned and re-

defined the ideological and political spectrum in this country. From now on, Pat Buchanan will be the magnet that realigns politics and through whom everything will be defined. From now on, we are either Buchananites, of whatever nuance, members of a Buchanite movement, or we are anti-Buchananite, or we are confused folk in the middle. These are the three choices and the only three choices, for every American. It is time to choose. •

## New World Order, Haiti Department

by M.N.R.

Did you ever feel that you were plunged into the middle of an old Bob Newhart routine? Consider this example of Bush's foreign policy, which is supposed to be his *strength*. After decades, Haiti finally has an election, which brings to power an extreme-left-wing priest, Father Jean-Bertrand Aristide, an advocate of torture and "necklacing." Alarmed by Aristide's revival of the brutal, paramilitary *Ton-Ton Macoutes*, a dread legacy of the old Duvalier dictatorship, the Haitian military ousted Aristide in a coup, unwisely allowing him to flee

abroad.

The United States government, angered at this repudiation of "democracy," then maneuvered to get the Organization of American States (OAS) to slap a tight embargo on Haiti, causing mass starvation in an already destitute country. Conducting three-way negotiations, the U.S./OAS finally works out an agreement with the Haitian military, bringing back Aristide, with a "moderate," "compromise" figure as Prime-Minister, none other than Rene Theodore, long-time head of the Communist Party of Haiti. During the half-century of the Cold War, the U.S. government spent a lot of energy looking for "Third Force moderates" in the Third World, and could never find any. Now they have finally found one, and it's the head of the *Communist Party??!*

**Did you ever feel that you were plunged into the middle of an old Bob Newhart routine?**

The *New York Times* reports that the Haitian workers and soldiers are "confused" by this development ("Selection of Communist Stirs Confusion in Haiti," January 11). A Haitian industrialist reported that "even my workers were shaking their heads in confusion . . .

They just kept asking: a Communist?" Similarly for the "poorly educated" soldiers, who now had to be informed

that "one of their few enduring certainties, that Communists were evil," somehow did not apply. Their confusion is compounded by the fact that the military had been applying the "damning" epithet "Communist" to Aristide.

Good. After they get through explaining all this to the poor Haitians, maybe they can explain it to us. One Haitian social-democrat leader offered the excuse that "in the five years, he [Theodore] has been back in Haiti, I have never heard him talking about Marxism." So what? Has everyone ever heard Alger Hiss talking about Marxism? Or any-one else, for that matter, except Western academics?

But that's all right. Haitian confusion, at least, will be eased by the fact that, as soon as the Aristide/Theodore compromise goes through, the United States/OAS will lift its embargo, and the U.S. will start pouring aid funds into Haiti to make up for the losses imposed by that embargo. This leaves, in a state of permanent confusion, that old punching-bag, the U.S. taxpayer, who has to pay for this insanity. And you say they're worried about Pat Buchanan's "isolationism"?! ●

## The Smith "Rape" Case

by M.N.R.

The vindication of Willie Kennedy Smith on nationwide TV was a delightful one-two punch to the Monstrous Regiment, coming so soon after the Thomas nomination. Just as we predicted, at the outcome of the case, one of the feminist "experts" whined bitterly on TV: "I suppose that from now on the burden of proof will be on the 'victim.'" Yes, that's the whole idea, lady, the burden of proof is on the plaintiff in a criminal case to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The case was preposterous from the beginning: No tearing of dress or underwear from the alleged act of "violence"; none in the household hearing any alleged screams; the convenient loss of memory by The Woman on when and

why she voluntarily removed her pantyhose, etc. And once again there was the blather: what possible motive could she have had to lie? Well, let's go down the list: (a) she could be "a nut," as Willie perceptively noted; (b) she could be vindictive; (c) she could be after the Kennedy loot. How?

Well, if the criminal case had been won, The Woman could have gone after big bucks in a civil suit.

There was a lot of wailing because testimony about Willie's three previous alleged acts of sexual coercion was not permitted. Those complaints, of course, coming from the same women who consider it simply self-evident that The Woman's previous sexual escapades could not be mentioned. In addition to a single standard being important, we have to consider what The Case helps reveal to us about the time in which we live. Wilt Chamberlain testified recently (but *not* "under oath") that he has slept with 20,000 ladies in his lifetime. Deduct some vigorish for braggadocio, deduct some years for Willie, and we still have a wealthy, good-looking, ostentatiously eligible and clearly not celibate bachelor knocking down—how many?—several thousand? ladies.

Of the several thousand putative ladies, it stands to reason that at least three could be found who were mad at Willie and willing to go after the Kennedy fortune. One of those three, it turns out, spent the night with Willie after the alleged "rape" occurred, and only got mad at him the next morning when he was reading the paper at breakfast instead of paying court to her: after which she decided that she had been, after all, a "victim" of date rape.

An interesting facet of The Woman's character: upon

**The vindication of Willie Kennedy Smith on nationwide TV was a delightful one-two punch to the Monstrous Regiment.**